

EPAG Minutes April 7, 2016

CC 214

3:00 - 4:30 p.m.

Present: Andrew Beveridge, Kendrick Brown, Darcy Burgund, Ernie Capello (Chair), Caroline Duncombe, Terri Fishel, Susan Fox, Cynthia Kauffeld, Mark Mazullo, Ann Minnick, Paul Overvoorde, Cory Stern

We began the meeting by congratulating Kendrick on his new appointment as Dean of the College of Arts and Science at the University of Redlands.

1. Approval of Minutes for March 31, 2016 - minutes approved.

2. Chair Communications -

- Update on our work for the year - a list of tasks and their status for the year was distributed. We made a significant amount of progress on the tasks that had been identified and quite a few items were completed. One item to be initiated before the end of the year is the NTT voting issue. It was suggested that EPAG meet with some NTT members.
- Ernie thanked committee members for submitting allocation paragraphs.

3. Asian Languages and Cultures Review Response - Ernie did talk with Lynn Hertz about Arjun, and the program is similar to Latin American Studies and they are working on consistency across the college for programs. That completed our last department review for the year.

4. Second Language Proficiency Report - the question was raised concerning whether assessment should be in the target language or English. Pass/Fail issue was also brought up, but we need more data. The only limit is that students may take only one course P/F per term. The P/F issue and distribution requirements need broader conversation. The proposed language change for learning outcomes is just considered minor and EPAG can approve. The change will be made and announced on Tuesday. A final issue raised was the lack of a standard for IB and AP credit which will be a future EPAG discussion.

5. Curricular Development Plan – Planning Conversation - We received 10 proposals that range from single individual submissions to large groups. We agreed that EPAG needs to make strategic decisions on what makes sense for future directions. It signals allocations focus, and allocation requests should refer to CDP. There is an intersection between the CDP and the Strategic Priorities. The Chair proposed that there be a CDP conversation every meeting going forward. Next time - think about what the CDP is and what our goals should be for rewriting it. Everyone should look at current CDP as well and remember that resources are an important part of the discussion.

Meeting adjourned at 4:30

Respectfully submitted by Terri Fishel, Library Director