

EPAG Minutes

September 8th, 2016

3:00 – 4:30 pm

Campus Center 206

Present: Andrew Beveridge, Darcy Burgund (Chair), Ron Barrett, Julia Chadaga, Saakshi Daswani, Erik Davis, Remy Eisendrath, Terri Fishel, Cynthia Kauffeld, Mark Mazullo, Ann Minnick, Karine Moe, Paul Overvoorde.

1. Approval of minutes for April 28th, 2016, and September 1st, 2016 - minutes for April 28th revised and approved. Minutes for 9/2/2016 approved.
2. Assignments to Board of Trustees meetings, Friday, October 7th
 - a. Academic Affairs, 7:30 – 9:00 am (CC 214) - Darcy
 - b. Campus Life, 9:05 – 10:35 am (CC 215) - Cynthia
 - c. Advancement, 10:40 am – 12:10 pm (CC 214) - Ron
 - d. Admissions, 10:40 am – 12:10 pm (location TBD) - Erik
3. Chair communications
 - a. Report at first faculty meeting - brief, curricular oversight, revision of the CDP, proposing language for NTT voting rights.
 - b. Time of the faculty meeting - request has been made to move to 11:30 - 1:00 in order to allow time to get to the votes before faculty leave for 1:20 classes. Need to change bylaws and need $\frac{2}{3}$ faculty approval. This will be included in the report with a request to email Darcy with your objections.
4. Registrar communications - postponed due to Jayne's absence.
 - a. Revisions to Statistics minor
 - b. Revisions to Asian Studies major
5. CDP revision brief discussion of strategy
Read through all proposals, find common themes, how they map onto six criteria that are on the Moodle groups site. Members should rank the proposals based on how they align with the six criteria. We will discuss during an hour set aside during our September 22 meeting. The end product will be a new, but shorter document with references to the longer 2010 document.
6. Neuroscience Studies major - Darcy recused herself at this point and Cynthia led this part of the meeting.
 - a. Self study, external review, and response
 - b. Proposed revision to major

Cynthia summarized a short background on the history of the neuroscience studies and where we are now because it ties in with the Cognitive Science concentration. The response to the external review demonstrates that biology is engaged in revising the curriculum and that the overall direction is positive and the general response by EPAG was supportive. If a new major is approved, we will still need to support students currently in the program. We need to respond to the department and also to the transition team. Before determining if we will bring the proposed major to a faculty vote, we have questions that need to be developed and shared. Cynthia will collect questions and we will need more time at the next meeting to finish the discussion.

4 p.m. Darcy rejoined the committee.

7. NTT voting rights 2nd discussion - Reviewed faculty voting rights language. Instructors are defined as teaching members who don't have a terminal degree however that is defined in the specific field (PhD or Masters.) The question was raised as to how many part-time versus full-time numbers and how many people fit into category of teaching one course for the first time? Darcy will contact Lynn Hertz. For the

3 year probationary group, members would like to know how many instructors do we have who are part-time teaching in their first three years. One concern is about the number needed to reach a quorum as the number expands with a larger enfranchisement.

Adjourned at 4:30

Respectfully submitted by Terri Fishel