

EPAG Minutes

September 15th, 2016

3:00 – 4:30 pm

Campus Center 206

Present: Andrew Beveridge, Darcy Burgund (Chair), Ron Barrett, Julia Chadaga, Saakshi Daswani, Erik Davis, Remy Eisendrath, Terri Fishel, Cynthia Kauffeld, Mark Mazullo, Ann Minnick, Karine Moe, Jayne Niemi, Paul Overvoorde.

1. Approval of minutes for Sept 8, 2016: approved.
2. Chair communications: representatives to the Provost's task forces have been appointed.
3. Report at first faculty meeting: Darcy will send an email to faculty-announce-l as a reminder to email any objections or other things to consider about a motion to change the start/end time of the faculty meeting..
4. Registrar communications -
 - a. Revisions to Statistics minor -- no info received, so no update; Jayne will ask Tom how it's going
 - b. Revisions to Asian Studies major -- clarify capstone requirement; #6, clarify that it's in a single discipline; clarify what has to be unique so that the overlap prohibition is clear between double majors, in cases of Asian Studies majors who are also Chinese or Japanese majors. Exclusion of interdisciplinary fields, but not a specific list of disciplines; instead subject to the approval of the chair. Maybe a sample list? Ask Arjun these questions. Jayne will suggest language, which the chair can review and edit.
5. Environmental Studies department review preparation: Do we have any questions to add to those for the reviewers. We discussed the kinds of questions we should add. Darcy will draft the questions for our approval next week.
6. At 3:50, Darcy and Saakshi leave the room and Cynthia takes the gavel until 4:10 to discuss the Neuroscience proposal. We discussed some of the details that were not clear to us. Cynthia will create a google doc of questions on which we will collaborate.
7. Darcy and Saakshi returned for the NTT discussion: Julia reported a question from a Senior Lecturer: They've had reviews, will they be expected to go through another review? No, they have already been converted to Assistant Prof NTT if they have a PHD, or Instructor NTT if they don't, or they could choose to keep the Senior Lecturer title. If they want to be promoted, then a Provost review will be required (not FPC review). Our goal is to come up with language to discuss at the October faculty meeting. Darcy will talk with Paul Dosh about the agenda and how this should be configured. We looked at the numbers of NTT faculty provided by Lynn Hertz. Quorum really is part of the question. The AAUP position on enfranchising part-time faculty was shared. We discussed the various possibilities. More next week.

Adjourned at 4:34

Respectfully submitted by Jayne Niemi