IC: We have a lot to do today so we're going to get started. First up is Lucy.

LW: Hi everybody, I just want to give a quick update on the Resources and Planning Committee. This semester the main thing we've focused on is developing ways to communicate the budget and finances for the surrounding community. We've developed some presentations that we've shown to department chairs and met success, we'll show the rest of the faculty at retreats later on in the year. We won't give a presentation to the students until the fall. That compiles a lot of presentations from different departments into a PowerPoint that will be pretty interactive.

IC: Thanks Lucy. Everyone make sure you show up on time next time. Next is Cole with the Classroom and Learning Facilities advising committee.

CD: Thanks. We've been working on collective learning and interactive learning. The best example of this is a classroom in 1017 that can be adapted to different teaching styles. We've also been talking about classroom media infrastructure, a common problem is defunct media platforms. We're going to replace some of these platforms with better ones. That's about it.

IC: Any questions? Alright, next is old business with SIRC.

SLK: Yeah we have over 600 printed.

RD: We don't need an extra layers, it's a bureaucratic mess. There is a lot in the bylaws already, you're conflating different issues. Communication may be slow but that doesn't stop holding the staff accountable. The alternative is that people can see something missing and tell the chief of staff to put it up.
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I know that the Mac Weekly wants to be here, Joe is pretty adamant.

RD: I think that the LB will remove the cut once we know what the problem is.

WMCN: Basic operation costs, our studio engineer is 10.5k and he keeps us going. Insurance and licensing is about 7k. We have after the cuts over 7.5k that we need for additional allocation. Those are funds we require for operation and we're not confirmed to get this. Everything we're asking for was approved before the cuts, we're just asking for 15,151 total.

RD: The reason why we're doing this is for orgs to keep track of their money. If you use all your money and record it in checkbook then I can guarantee you that you'll receive the full amount.

WMCN: We can't take that risk.

WT: I move to approve the full amount.

LW: It seems like you recommended less than 15k, 13k before the cuts. In that case with the change it brings it up to 9k... The things that wouldn't be afforded was covered in the cut.

38

JK: We this semester funded their microphone processors. I'm going to disagree with Rothin, we can't guarantee anything.

JL: If we're going to cut some orgs we can't pick and choose. We can't discriminate on broken rules.

IC: We're seeing here that there is a greater need for these orgs for this money. Even if they were cut.

IC: I guess I misunderstood what this was about. We've had so much miscommunication in the past about the budget, we know now what is on checkbook and we're going to take it seriously moving ahead.

RD: The reason we need consistency is we can't keep money in track. Even if everyone brings in their books at the very end. There is no way next year to hold you guys accountable for using it if we don't do it this year. Our hands are tied. I would prefer if you guys take the cut and come back for more.

IC: I think we should move to question to approve the WMCN budget 15,151$.

43

RD: Point of order, this amount ignores the other recommendations made by the FAC.

9 for, 12 opposed, 0 abstain.

RD: I move to approve the amount approved by the FAC, 13,382$.

JK: We took out boom, processors, stuff we can get through additional allocations.

WMCN: I came in without what WMCN needs to budget for. I got in touch with the FAC about amending the budget and never got a response. This stuff is the money we need to operate.

JM: There is a discrepancy, the reason is the assumption that the advisor gave us the wrong amount because they forgot the engineer didn't get paid over the summer.

IC: So the FAC won't cover the expenses.

JM: Yes, they voted on the budget that doesn't cover the summer fees.

RD: I would say we all m2q and reconsider the previous motion.

CP: Can we amend?

LW: Can you pay just the summer and fall in one amount and then pay the rest in the spring.

IC: I think we should do what Rothin says.

Sd: I m2q

0 for, 21 opposed, 0 abstain.

49

WT: will 15k cover the costs you need? I motion to amend the budget ...

JK: I motion to reconsider the 15,151 budget.

IC: Ok, now we have the full amount back on the table.

NE: I m2q.

IC: Any objections?

18 for, 3 opposed, 0 abstain

IC: Motion approved. I have James...

JL: The reason we should allow this is because its an operational cost, we shouldn't give exemptions if they don't need it.

IC: Fresh Concepts is here.

FC: The whole org wants to apologize about the process, we've resolved to take responsibility about this. Two main appeals, we are responsible to book groups for familyfest in the fall. It really advertises the comedy groups. We don't have a coach or mentor in the group, we rely a lot on the two workshops in the spring to help with our techniques. We depend on those to set the climate for the rest of the year. We plan to do workshops for students next year.

IC: Thanks, for all these appeals Jennie can you say all the numbers for appeals?

JK: I can do that right now.

JK: 37155 is how much we recommend.

CD: A lot of people came in, they don't know what happened with the 30%.

IC: I can inform, before this process started, the 50% cut has been reduced to 30%. Remember we're on fresh concepts right now.

SV: You're appealing the cut right? I make a motion to approve?

IC: You want to reflect the full amount?

SV: Never mind I got confused.

SLK: What is the amount they requested?

JK: We didn't fund pizza or sweatshirt.

4 for, 17 opposed, 0 abstain.

IC: Any objections?

55

IC: Motion approved.

CP: Can we amend?

RD: I think that the LB will remove the cut once we know what the problem is.

LW: I echo what James said, we shouldn't override every org for their cut. We are trying to create a consequence and valuing this org above everyone else. Its not fair and its not enforcing.

SLK: If we don't fund you the full amount and give you the cut amount what will you miss?

FC: The people we get for familyfest and the workshops. Its hard to anticipate the costs for groups coming in.

JK: M2Q.

IC: Any objections? All in favor of voting?

4 for, 17 opposed, 0 abstain.

IC: We will continue discussion.

JL: The question Seth had is misleading, it's a different situation than WMCN. You can begin the year with this money and all.

4 for, 17 opposed, 0 abstain.

IC: Is there a second for this?

JM: If we approve the 30% cut then someone can appeal the full amount?

LW: I echo what James said, we shouldn't override every org for their cut. We are trying to create a consequence and valuing this org above everyone else. Its not fair and its not enforcing.

SLK: If we don't fund you the full amount and give you the cut amount what will you miss?

59

FC: The people we get for familyfest and the workshops. Its hard to anticipate the costs for groups coming in.

JK: M2Q.

IC: Any objections? All in favor of voting?

4 for, 17 opposed, 0 abstain.

I motion to amend to 3,715... 5,308 I mean.

JK: We didn't fund pizza or sweatshirt.

IC: Is there a second for this?

JM: If we approve the 30% cut then someone can appeal the full amount?

LW: I echo what James said, we shouldn't override every org for their cut. We are trying to create a consequence and valuing this org above everyone else. Its not fair and its not enforcing.

SLK: If we don't fund you the full amount and give you the cut amount what will you miss?

FC: The people we get for familyfest and the workshops. Its hard to anticipate the costs for groups coming in.

JK: M2Q.

IC: Any objections? All in favor of voting?

4 for, 17 opposed, 0 abstain.

IC: We will continue discussion.

JL: The question Seth had is misleading, it's a different situation than WMCN. You can begin the year with this money and allocate additionally accordingly.

RD: M2Q, never mind.

02

Sam: I made a sheet of what orgs put down for need-based funding.

RD: M2Q.

2 for, 18 opposed, 0 abstain.

IC: The amendment does not pass. Jennie do you have all the numbers?

JL: They are done. I already made the changes to what's on the table.

IC: We should hear all the numbers first, including reasons why the cuts were made.

05

NE: I think this has been communicated, the orgs can use the money they block budget for whenever they want.

CD: Can you screen the numbers?

RD: One more thing, we should mention that we're backed up for time.

IC: I apologize for all the orgs, it's the nature of the beast. So now we have Mac Slams.

08

JM: Mac slams wanted 10400, we rec 7400, we didn't rec the honorarium for their coach and their December poet.

MS: We want to request the full amount. We got cut for the honorarium for our coach, this comes from a miscommunication for our group. We need to mirror the structure of other competitive orgs in order to remain competitive. Here is the breakdown of what our coach gets. They spend a lot of hours working on this org and setting up the slams. The main difference between our coach is that he is always here.
We perform a lot of collabs with other groups and this is a result of our coach. Orgs that don’t have a coach are worse off. That’s why we want the money for the coach.

12
SM: 1,300. I like to approve the budget of $5900.
FAC: We reason we didn’t fund it first is because we thought the coach was just for the traveling team.
JS: M2Q.

13
22 for, 1 opposed, 0 abstain
IC: Amendment approved. We now have MacPRG… I mean Sitting. Go ahead and introduce yourselves.

15
JK: We did not recommend any amount, they put it in the wrong section. We realized this after we went through.
RD: What is the amount then? And what’s the rec?
JK: We don’t have a recommendation, they requested 4,850$.
IC: The FAC has no rec then? There is nothing… Without a rec then I don’t feel comfortable choosing a number. I can’t make an amendment to look at this budget. I would request additional allocation…

16
SM: I know that there was travel grant stuff in there as well…?

17
RM: Three speakers for a total of 2500$.
FAC: There are 3 amounts. The one Roth is talking about is the full one.

18
IC: I move to amend the budget to 2350$.
SM: I recall having questions about donations and if it fit under bylaws. We should look closer into the budget.
Sv: M2Q.
AH: I object.
CW: Do we have the budget available?
1 for, 23 opposed, 0 abstain

21
JK: I remember that there was travel grant stuff in there as well…?
RD: We don’t do donations right? I think that was under a block budgeting things, the cost of the retreat was the donation one right?
SM: What is donation referring to?

22
RD: DO we know what the donate amount was?
SM: These are costs associated with the retreat, that means we paid for spaces for stuff near campus that doesn’t require travel funds. There is also cost associated with having a leader go to the retreat.
RD: What are the donations?
SM: I don’t know how it got introduced?
JM: You’re thinking of something else there is nothing under donation in the budget.

27
NE: I would be more comfortable if there was a way to get a recess and then a recommendation?
IC: I think that would be a poor choice. The FAC should say something if they have an idea.
AH: I’m talking about the budgetary presentation. It cannot be budgeted for so I don’t feel comfortable…

29
JK: They submitted this to the wrong section and so we didn’t look at it.
CD: We only fund publicity for 125 so I recommend that. For the honorarium, we would prefer an additional allocation.
IC: What I think would work would be to vote down this amendment and save this for next week. That could be an option.
RD: I don’t think that’s an option. I think we should vote and make a fresh motion. They need to understand that we’re trying to be helpful. I move to question on this amendment.
0 for, 23 opposed, 0 abstain
IC: The amendment does not pass.
SM: I move to amend the budget for a total of… 23175.

32
RD: Jennie do we need to remove this amount?
SM: I don’t know where the word donation entered in, the only way I see it came in is the way the rental fees we’re discussed. Regarding the conduct of the FAC, we would love to have advice. We’ve been talking with the FAC about the budget and appeals. We haven’t been supported at all. When we first submitted the budget, I made the mistake, it was a very difficult thing. We made a note and a title about it, I spoke to Dan Yee who said he had looked at it. You told me it was not in the right tab… since that time of budget it has been confusing.
RD: I apologize for all that. I move to question.

36
3 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstain
IC: Motion passed. I motion to suspend the bylaws and extend the meeting to 9:30pm.
RD: We should do this now. M2Q.

37
21 for, 2 opposed, 0 abstain
IC: The bylaws have been suspended.

38
JM: We need to keep focus on the appeals at hand.
FAC: We did try to get in touch with them through email, it fell through.
IC: Mac Public health is here now.
SM: I respect and support your decision for the cut, our mistake was a result of a more complex thing. We had a bunch of money that was left over that comprised our main expenses. We used the cost of MCSG for subsidizing a MN Aids project training. I collected the money and gave it back to the school and never received a receipt. That being said, I didn’t have any confirmation that money was in question. It’s an accountability thing that was between us and the school.
JK: They wanted 2250, we recommended 2150$ by cutting some decorations. We have 1505$ recommended.
SM: Three speakers for a total of 2500$.
FAC: There are 3 amounts. The one Roth is talking about is the full one.
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IC: I move to amend the budget to 2350$.
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IC: That would take too much time.
LW: I think outing club needs this stuff. They can also get a lot of allocations to fund this. Waiting, going over the inventory is a good idea.
DC: A lot of the gear we need for mountain bound, that happens before MCSG begins. We’ve struggled in the past to supply stuff. We’ve tried to get together with MCSG to go over this stuff but we couldn’t.
IC: I’m restricting talking to 30 sec.
CW: Outing club is a model org. They put in a lot of work, it should be rewarded. I motion to approve the amount of…
JK: They requested 12k, we rec 7211. We took out funding for the on campus organizer.

RD: Doubling the hours is much more fair, the Mac Slams comparison is not fair. There is no equivalent organizer for task force hours across the board.

JM: I yield to Jim.

MacPIRG: We've tried really hard to get our information out but time stopped us from getting out what we need. We'll be back in the open consultation.

JM: We've discussed that many times.

MacPIRG: We've tried really hard to get our information out but time stopped us from getting out what we need. We'll be back next year for additional allocations.

JM: There is a big difference between not hearing what we want to hear and misinformation. They cut our taskforce hours and doubled our open hours. We don't just train, we act. We want to address the concern about these hours not being open to all students. These meetings would be important for getting many ideas off the ground.

RD: These guys have faced an onslaught of budgeting, the campus feels wants these guys to continue. Because they have made a good faith effort to try. I hope we can pass a decent budget that accounts for the organizer.

WT: I would rather give them the full amount because this organizer has the same role as the Mac Slams coach. These guys are an exemplar org and we should fund them.

IC: There is no second.

JM: The question is about the amount of hours paying one person. We need to be careful about getting a person to come in and do stuff on campus for money.

CD: I move to approve 106915, the original FAC recommendation.

IC: I move to approve the original 123715 amount. We have not offered to meet with you.

ACLU: We want to apologize since we messed up with some procedures, we are a pretty new org. However we think we need money for our food events as it helps us plan for next year better.

CD: Do you need money for publicity?

ACLU: Yeah and we're happy to use the 512.

IC: I object.

OCR: If someone's going to make a motion then make it. If not then don't.

DT: The discussion is overwhelming all of us. I think we should motion to amend the budget for the second approval of 72115.

IC: The amendment does not pass. I move to suspend the bylaws to extend the meeting to 10pm.

WT: I move to approve the original 123715 amount.

LW: I M2Q.

RB: This is a contentious issue. Who draws the line, we should err on the side of students voices.
MW: I want to apologize for our snafu, there was an abrupt transition of leadership halfway through the year. The only expense we have is the contract to the printer. Those expenses are directly to campus activities. I am aware we have our add account and our printing account, I'm trying to figure out where this money comes from. I request the full amount be instated.

RD: I think its not their fault. I move to approve the full amount of 13k.

IC: Discussion.

JM: Motion to reconsider, I forgot the flexie.

IC: Any objections?

19 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstain

IC: Motion passed.

RD: I move to question on the FAC recommended 2015-12016 budget of… $153,489.48

37

JM: If we take out for a bunch… that will leave this budget of 27k for additional allocations not counting if we have a rollover.

18 for, 1 opposed, 0 abstain

IC: Budget is passed.

JM: Motion to reconsider. I motion to reconsider.

IC: Discussion.

JZ: 30 for, 0 opposed, 0 abstain

40 IC: The budget is back on the table.

WT: I make a motion to approve $177,489,48...

RD: It doesn't matter if we said the wrong number, we approved the full amount of the budget.

WT: But we reconsidered.

RD: Yes. We should move to question.

42 JM: Looking at some numbers, 4,975$ before rollover for additional allocations.

JM: Also new orgs will require money in the future.

RD: I motion to table the budget and allow.

IC: I try to keep my cool, I am very frustrated right now. I think tabling the budget is the only option. When I made the amendment to change to 30%, I was working with incorrect numbers.

CD: I think tabling is a good idea, we'll have to do cross-cuts. If we said more number for what we want for next year?

43 RD: That is a discussion that the FAC should have. I agree that a transition from a 50% to 30% makes things hard.

LW: Motion to table.

IC: Discussion tabling the budget until next week.

45 JM: That figure was calculated for where the money went last year. The money we're adding on should be fine as its coming from MPRIG.

IC: I want these numbers to be correct

LW: This money we're looking at is...

JM: No, it accounts for that. But you did point out something...

LW: I think any ambiguity should come back clear next week.

DT: I move to question to vote on tabling this budget

17 for, 2 opposed, 0 abstain

IC: The motion has been tabled.

JS: I don't want to silence wills bill. I motion to end this meeting and address Will's bill next week.

PM: What do you think?

48 WT: I'm not ok with that. I would like to discuss it and get it over with.

RD: I spoke with the guests too. There isn't a lack of time next meeting. I move to question.

WT: I object

IC: All those in favor of ending discussion

11 for, 7 against, 0 abstain

IC: We continue discussion.

WT: I think we've had it for a week, I would like to discuss it already. If issues come up I'd like to hear them but you all had a week.

50 DT: A lot of the members have left, have some patience when everyone is here. I move to question on adjourning the meeting.

13 for, 5 opposed, 0 abstain

IC: Meeting adjourned.

Meeting ends 21:52