

Weyerhaeuser Boardroom

October 31st, 2024 | 12:00 PM CST



MACALESTER COLLEGE
STUDENT GOVERNMENT

Legislative Body Meeting Agenda

[Land Acknowledgement](#) ~ *Liv Peterson* ~ 2 minutes

We would like to acknowledge that Macalester College and the College Archives are located on the traditional, ancestral and contemporary lands of the Waḥpékhute band of Dakhóta Oyáte, the Dakota nation. We make this acknowledgement to respect and affirm the sovereignty of the Dakota people, ancestors and descendants, and to respect the land itself. We recognize that this acknowledgment is but a first step in recognizing and dismantling aggressive and persistent policies of settler colonialism that continue to oppress to this day. These are the contexts in which the archives functions to this day. The work of acknowledgement must be paired with active practices like the amplification of Indigenous voices and land repatriation in order to be substantive and meaningful. With thanks to Jennings Mergenthal, Class of 2021, for their time and effort in crafting this land acknowledgement.

Speaker Announcement:

- Liv: All members and guests should be aware that this meeting is open to the Macalester community and may be reported on by the Mac Weekly. Minutes are taken and added to the website. LB members can request additional agenda items for future LB meetings by emailing the Macalester College Student Government email.

Questbridge FAC Appeal ~ *Luke Evans* ~ 10 minutes

- Explanation of [Request](#)
 - Luke: If you guys remember from a couple weeks ago we had a previous appeal from Chess Club. This appeal will run similarly. If you have questions at this point when I'm presenting things please raise your hands but only for clarifying questions. Questions about our decision and rationale and for Questbridge will come a little later. I'm going to start with a little FAC health check about the amount of money we have remaining in our funds. This is accurate up to 10/22. The important columns to look at are balance available and percent remaining. Percent remaining is a little deceiving especially in the operating fund just because of how it's allocated elsewhere. We have \$44,000 left there, \$12,000 in capital, and \$51,000 in the travel fund.
- FAC [Decision Rationale](#)
 - Luke: I was asked to present these figures and give a better idea of how the decision made today will affect the status of these funds. I'm going to give an explanation of the request in front of us today. Macalester QuestBridge made an additional allocation request for travel to take 9 members of their club to Boston University during National Questbridge Week. They came in front of FAC and explained their request which would have been around \$303 for 9 people for plane tickets and for three rooms for two nights the total would come out to \$2,908.08 for rooms. The original request there was nothing requested for operating expenses during the time they would be there and nothing requested for local travel. If you recall, when traveling, food needs to come out of operating, not the travel fund. When we asked Questbridge if they were going to put in a food request later, they said yes. FAC likes to consider the holistic cost of all requests. When we factored in the hypothetical cost for that food which was around \$1,200 the new total of the request came out to \$6,858.88 which inflated the cost per person to \$762.11 which is expensive for an individual person. According to our past precedent, it's kind of an inequitable amount per person in terms of how we want to districture the student activity fee. At this amount we deemed it an inequitable distribution and thus we rejected it. Questbridge made an appeal of this denial which I'll explain now. The original timeline remains

unchanged and we did see a good reduction of these costs most notably in lodging. No additional requests will be submitted for food and local ground transportation because they acquired other funds. The new total is \$3,608 which is what we are going to be voting on today. The new cost per person is \$400.89 which FAC judged to be a more appropriate cost per person. FAC actually approves this appeal so we would encourage members today to vote to approve this appeal. The reasons for travel align pretty well with the values of the organization. It's during national Questbridge week which adds another degree to this trip's purpose. That's all for me, I would like to invite Questbridge representatives up now.

- [Appeal from Questbridge](#)

- Melanie: I'm the Questbridge Macalester Chapter president. Sorry, I'm nervous, I wasn't expecting this many people. We would be exchanging with Austin University, Boston College, and Tufts University who are all first generation and low income schools during National Questbridge Week which is a celebration for supporting these students at Questbridge schools in general. A lot of what Questbridge does supports college-access students getting into college and supporting students through their undergraduate experience and creating connections nationally with those students to provide opportunities that they wouldn't have otherwise. This exchange also is connected to the LSC and the CEC to provide more resources to students here on campus. At Austin University they are very engaged with their version of the LSC and CEC and we've been working with their administrative leader so we can figure out ways we can all get together to exchange ideas and create an open forum to work on how we can make Macalester and all the other Questbridge schools more connected and provide more opportunities for first generation and low income scholars. Questions?

- Chloe: This sounds like a really good trip. I do see that there are only nine students going. How did you decide who are the nine people going and is something that you see going on for a few years down the road or is this a one off event?


- Melanie: We are taking our 9 board members so we can exchange with other boards and bring back plans and ideas to figure out how we can distribute what we learned from them to the rest of our Macalester community. We do operate with a lot of Questbridge scholars on campus.

Not all of them are fully disclosed because we have match scholars, we have scholars that came in through QB RD, and people like me who are transfers and were part of Questbridge in high school. So we have hundreds of people on campus and it was hard to narrow it down to a sustainable amount of people for this trip so we narrowed it down to the board specifically. We've done this in the past. Last year we exchanged with UChicago and we also constantly connected with Carleton, down south. This is something we want to keep doing in the future and something that we're actually pioneering in the national Questbridge sphere. Especially ever since the pandemic because they used to have regional chapters available for this and more funding allocated to the national aspect of the undergraduate Questbridge connections. They ended up getting funds cut after the pandemic and a lot of things moved virtually so we're trying to pioneer these connections again.

- Aisha: I know you mentioned three schools that you would be visiting on your trip. How did you decide these schools specifically? I'm super familiar with Questbridge and I know there's a lot of match schools, especially in the Boston area so why not visit those schools as well?
 - Melaine: We reached out to all of the Questbridge schools in the area and Boston College, Boston University, and Tufts University were the only ones that responded. We won't be visiting each individual school since Boston University was kind enough to hold a conference of all the schools in the area where we can all convene. We reached out to Wellesley and MIT and the other Boston schools that are part of Questbridge and sadly did not hear back. We're reaching out to whoever responded and are interested and trying to create something meaningful.
- Liv: We are out of time for questions. Do I see a motion?
- Cooper: Motion to approve Questbridge appeal of \$3,608.
[Seconds]
 - FOR: 24
 - AGAINST: 1

- ABSTAIN: 0
- Liv: The appeal passes for \$3,608 for Questbridge.


SOC Org Charters ~ *Sean Maxfield* ~ 15 minutes

-  Charter of Macalester Green Athletics Club
 - Sean: We have 2 orgs that SOC has passed and are coming in front of you guys today to get chartered. We're going to start with the org leader(s) coming up and presenting their org. I'm going to give SOC's rationale similarly to how Luke did on passing them through, we'll ask questions and then we'll have a motion to vote.
 - Bruno: Hey guys, thanks for having me. I'm Bruno, I'm a junior here, I use he/him pronouns. Basically what the Green Athletics Club is is pairing the environment and sustainability and athletics departments together. With that being said, it's not just for athletes, club or varsity, it's for everyone. I want to make sports, which is kind of underrepresented in environmental sustainability, more sustainable. I think using sports as a method to do so will gain more interest in students who maybe weren't interested before. This was the case for me when I did a project on this last year for a sustainability course where I analyzed sustainability in sports where I learned that there wasn't really much out there about environmental sustainability in sports. It's not talked about that much, you really have to dig to find more information and talk to people. I conducted interviews and further learned that there wasn't much transparency. I would love to increase our transparency towards what we do here in our athletics department and sports in general, through infographics, through student tours, anything like that, education and outreach. I would love to start projects like working on better usage of the field lights. They stay on too long sometimes. Making sure in our club sports meetings we always have compostable plates because we don't always. Smaller scale things like that but we can go large scale. We can learn about better run off systems we can have for our stadiums. We can learn about better things we can do with our used sports equipment because they're really piled up in the loading dock and right now what we do just leaves them there. We can do stuff like what the cross country team does in terms of recycling shoes. I think it would be really great if we get a lot of good engagement. Thank you.
 - Marina: So I was wondering why you want to go the student org route rather than doing it through the sustainability office

and bulking up what the sustainability office already has going on. What are the strengths that you find will be helpful as a club rather than a Macalester affiliated program through admin? Do you see this as something that an athlete from every team should be a part of? Why an org?

- Bruno: I want to be in the middle. I don't want it to go to one side where it's just a sustainability thing or an athletics department thing. I want to position myself where I can bring the two departments together to work together rather it be one department telling the other what to do. It would be great to have representatives from every athletic team, varsity and club. Other schools do similar things, Northern Michigan has done it and Amherst has green liaisons for every sports team and I think it would be really great to have here at Mac.
- David: I love these ideas, I think they are great for sustainability at Mac. I'm wondering what the benefit is for having a separate club rather than just working with the Green at Mac club that already exists?
 - Bruno: From my understanding, Green at Mac is more focused on urban sustainability and gardening. Sunrise is focused more on policy. I think Green Athletics, speaking directly to athletics in regards to sustainability, I think it's really good. I play soccer all the time and my interest in sustainability grew because I realized that I could combine these two interests. I think having a specific club that pairs these two interests, two interests that are really popular within our student body will be really helpful in getting more sustainability.
- Samantha: I know you announced this at the Student Athletic Advisory Committee, did you get a sense of how the athlete representative of each athletics seemed to feel about it?
 - Bruno: I think there's definitely interest. I talked to Jordan and he's had some great ideas. Once I start promoting it more, I know a bunch of club sports members are really interested. I think once it gets off the ground, a lot of people will get interested.
- Jordan: Just really quickly, this org would be a really great way in getting non varsity and varsity sports athletes working

together. So to that point, there will be interest especially once it's a chartered org and can start promoting. Speaking to Marina's point, I actually talked to Donny and admin in athletics about this and frankly, they don't want to do it from an admin perspective. They've seen initiatives time and time again and in their experience, those that come from the ground up like Bruno is creating, last longer and are more impactful than those that come from admin down so that's another great reason not to do it from the office. We want this to outlast Bruno, we want this to outlast me.

- Bruno: Thank you Jordan, I appreciate that.
- David: Motion to approve the charter of Green Athletics Club.
 - FOR: 23
 - AGAINST: 0
 - ABSTAIN: 0
- Liv: Green Athletics Club passes.
-  HxA Macalester Campus Community Charter
 - Owen Stein: Hello, my name is Owen Stein, I'm a sophomore and I use he/him pronouns. We're here presenting what would be a local chapter of Macalester Heterodox Academy which is a national organization which promotes free inquiry and free discussion on college campuses and beyond.
 - Matthew Allair: For those that don't know me, my name is Matthew, he/him pronouns, I'm a sophomore here, also the co-chair of Heterodox Academy. Most of what we're aiming to do with this group is to work alongside existing branches of the administration, existing grassroots student orgs, and other student associations to serve as a support system to anyone who wants to bring speakers or panelists to campus. This can be financially, this can be just going to bat for people if the administration it's not the best time to have them on campus at the moment. We want to engage with some programming of our own like lecture series and book clubs. Getting ideas flowing in a way that is really structured.
 - Owen: I think it's useful to put it in the context of an event that this would have been used for recently. There were recently plans to host a panel on divestment. That was shut down because of timing in relation to divestment of the Board. One of the things we would like to see the club do is advocate from the student level to get these speakers back and other instances when speakers aren't allowed onto campus.

- Tristan: While I don't take issue with the premise of an organization like this on campus, I have serious reservations about the national organization. In particular for claiming to solely be about promoting an open dialogue, there's a clear political leaning to the organization and its funders are highly questionable. Specially about their funding, one large funder has also funded groups that evict Palestinians from their homes which is an expansion of settler colonialism. There has been criticism of their archeology practices, as well. Another Heterodox funder has funded both climate change denialism and the organization behind Project 2025. This directly funds a grant available to campus groups. Therefore, I wonder if you plan on applying for the Heterodox Community Flexible Funding Opportunity Grant?
 - Matthew: Not in the near future, right now Heterodox Academy provides a baseline of \$1,000 to all campus communities. Student groups can apply and we're hoping by becoming an official Macalester student group we'll have access to all the funding we need to bring speakers to campus, to travel to conferences, etc, without having to deal with all of those other qualms.
- Catherine: I'm curious what, in your mind, what problem this org is going to address?
 - Owen: I think for varying degrees we've seen in the past year, that free inquiry is not fully embraced on college campuses across the United States. It's on attack from multiple different angles and I think we all see this quite clearly, such with the divestment panel being canceled. I think there is a lot of opportunity for a student org to be able to come in and advocate for such speakers to that effect. We've already discussed institutional links with the LCS and Kofi Annan Center for Global Citizenship both of which are on board and we're already discussing and planning events with.
- Philomena: Could you talk a little bit more about the two separate sources of funding and how you're going to keep the Macalester funds and the HSA funds separate?
 - Matthew: That's a great question. Any funding from a national level that's going to have to be negotiated

between co-chairs which is myself, Owen, and any faculty members who in the future might want to come aboard as co-chairs. I should clarify, they do all of their funding allocations through AirTable. So, basically we would be sending Heterodox Academy a check we need for funding after they approve it which would be entirely separate from whatever happens with Macalester's finances. Macalester's financing will mostly be used for travel, putting on events. Most of the programming we aspire to do is in association with student groups or branches of the school that have some amount of funding, we don't anticipate ever having to tap into Macalester or HSA really substantially in a way that would compromise the funding of other orgs.

- Anlian: I don't have a question but I'd encourage all reps to put aside their political beliefs and remember that just because a club might not align with your political beliefs doesn't mean that it shouldn't be allowed to exist.
- Cooper: On the Heterodox National thing it says they are for institutional neutrality so having the college not take stands on issues. I wanted to hear if you guys are in support of the college not having a stand on issues?
 - Owen: One thing I would like to say about the structure of Heterodox Academy nationally is that it is very grassroots, so each chapter has a lot of agency in terms of what beliefs they push for. I guess, I would have to kick that back to the student body of Mac and those who would like to join us on the discussion of institutional neutrality.
 - Matthew: I would also like to point out that up until a couple months ago Heterodox Academy national had no legal policy team. While this has been a stated goal of its for a while, it's not always the case that the campus community much less the national group is able to to involve itself on intercampus affairs to push for policy change. It happens sometimes, but a lot of groups do that sometimes. We currently have no plans in the near future to push for a specific policy change at Macalester College.


- Aisha: I'm a bit confused as to what your group is doing? I looked it up and it's saying that you want to encourage viewpoint diversity on college campuses, especially political diversity. What would your group achieve that a political group on campus cannot achieve? On campus there are events where people talk about current events where free speech is encouraged. What exactly can your group add to campus?
 - Matthew: If we're looking at all the existing political groups on campus, there are some that are partisan and there are some that are not. I would hope that the ones that are partisan would understand why having a partisan leaning or having a partnership with a national organization might then, not in a malicious way, but that's a space for discussing certain ideas and that's known. Whereas non-partisan groups, yes, they often have slightly more narrowly tailored goals as to what they want to happen on campus. We aim to be primarily a space for encouraging open dialogue in the way that for example, Democracy Matters. That's very clearly civics oriented and voting in elections oriented. We are not that necessarily.
 - Owen: I like Matthew's response to the question. I also think there's something to be said .. this is acting as another piece of Macalester's goal of Dialogue across Differences so it's also bringing together faculty and other organizations on campus with the explicit goal of doing this. This is broader in scope and yes we'll be doing events but that is not its only purview.
- Marina: My question for you guys is what are you trying to get out of affiliating on a national level? I know Owen personally so I know that you care about this stuff. What's stopping you from just creating your own thing? I'm assuming you want the funding from this org, but why are you finding this national chapter and what are you getting from them? Are you getting advice from them, are people from the national level going to come to Macalester to talk? What are the ties that you guys imagine having to the national org besides just funding? Are you trading ideas with them, are you..?

- Matthew: In addition to funding, which we aren't necessarily going to pursue as our main avenue of association with the national level group. These groups have been around since 2016 or 17 and they have a lot of different initiatives on a lot of different campuses that have gone a lot of different ways. They have a lot of experience in the realm of consulting on how to make initiatives like this and how to foster environments where constructive dialogues across campus can occur. They also have a speaker portfolio which is a lot of people who are former insiders of higher education like former administrators, professors who know a lot of people and they are willing to use their connections to help bring to campus.
- Sean: Just to kind of describe the SOC rationale. SOC has been seeing Matthew and Owen for about a month. There was a lot of controversy surrounding the national organization and the position of SOC is that open forum groups that are related to national orgs who support their goal of viewpoint diversity shouldn't be prevented from existing simply because they may encourage other viewpoints that may differ from the cultural monolith that exist in this school. I would urge members to think about whether they want to bring in their own personal beliefs into the way that they vote.
- Ryan: Looking specifically at your charter, I know in the student handbook there is a definition of hate speech and hostile environments, but in the instance when that speech isn't going to be dealt with by a moderator or administration, does your organization have any mechanisms for any internal conversations or discussions where a member may feel that it is a hostile environment but there's no moderator at all?
 - Matthew: We have considered taking provisions like having a disruptive behavior lead on site. My belief is that programming that occurs on college campuses is so decentralized that it wouldn't even work if they had a particular policy for dealing with potential acts of speech that violates speech codes on different colleges because different colleges have different rules. We would take steps to make sure there would be a

mechanism whether it be a disruptive behavior lead or on student request public safety presence.

- Ryan: I'm asking specifically where this does occur. Not potential, but if something has happened do you have any mechanisms of dealing with that that's not involved with moderators or administration.
 - Owen: Yes, it is not systematized yet but this is one possibility into something that I'm deeply concerned about. I'm deeply concerned about preventing hate speech and developing a framework that exists to use if anything comes up. There's not an excellent framework that currently exists but again, that is a large goal to develop something to that effect.
- Laurice: Motion to table this request for the next meeting.
 - [seconds]
 - FOR: 13
 - AGAINST: 10
 - ABSTAIN: 1
- Liv: So, the Heterodox charter is tabled until next week.

Discussion of Proposed Voting Amendment ~ *AnLian Krishnamurthy* ~ 17 minutes

-  **MCSG Voting Amendment**
- Q&A
- Discussion
 - Ryan: Just before we get started on this, I know there was a pretty intense discussion about this last week. I've met with AnLian, we're working with this stuff, we've heard a lot of the concerns. The amendment we have right now may not be perfect and I want us to open it up to discussion and talk to people. I want us all to remember that this is an actual issue and just because we didn't agree with it last week, doesn't mean that it's not an issue with LB that we want to address. I ask that when we go into this conversation, let's focus on the amendment, let's focus on the issue that we're trying to get to and talk about this as an LB without necessarily getting into how strongly you feel about the concept as a whole.
 - AnLian: After hearing all the feedback from last week, I've modified the amendment to make the default to make the voting published after every LB meeting. I've added a provision that allows us to decide by

simple majority whether we want the vote to be conducted anonymously.

- Liv: One question per minute.
 - Elizabeth: Thank you for this page. Where would this spreadsheet go once LB is over and who has access to it assuming that the majority of the votes make it anonymous?
 - Ryan: As it stands right now, we were looking at maybe putting this on the website. The language here is if we're voting not to publish it, that would be if we don't want it on the website, as far as I understand. But this is AnLian's thing.
 - Gem: After a vote, are we suggesting there be a second vote to make sure it's anonymous or not?
 - AnLian: You would motion to it, and then you would motion if you want it to be anonymous or not.
 - Chloe: So all voting would be online?
 - AnLian: All voting would be on the form. No more raising placards.
 - Tristan: Just a rules clarifying question, are we voting on both of these amendments at the same time? Both at the same time? Ok.
 - Elizabeth: If that is published on the website, who has access to that. Is it just the Mac members or the general public?
 - AnLian: It's supposed to be only the Mac campus members because of the fear of having it come back to bite you.
 - Marina: With the vote for anonymity, will every single time be a google form or how do you envision that going down?
 - AnLian: I envision it being a google form.
 - Jordan: Regardless whether it's published on the website or not, for the people in this room will the results of the google form be up there? We don't have less anonymity than we have now in terms of how we're voting. Would the google form results show up there and then later on we publish it or don't publish it? Or if we vote for it to be anonymous we would never know how people voted?
 - AnLian: If we vote for it to be anonymous I think we should never know. But the results will still go on the board, we just won't see who voted what.

- Joel: I guess my concern with publishing results to the Macalester community is it brings us a step closer to student assisted doxxing of individuals which I think is a very real concern. While I think we should continue discussing the way we vote and the challenges with it, that's the concern I want to share at this point.
- AnLian: I guess last week I offered to do that but nobody seemed that enthusiastic about it which is why I made this change.
- Chloe: How would that work with the forms? Would that be available to people in LB who don't come to meetings to vote?
- AnLian: I haven't thought about that but I think it should still be people in the room.
- Mena: I'm also concerned about the form. The default is motion to approve, but then we motion to table. Who's in charge of that motion and what effect will that have on timing?
- AnLian: I'm assuming if this gets approved we'll have multiple forms so..
- Tristan: Going off of Chloe's question if that's the case then the language should clearly state and that for good governance we should have that specified.
- David: There's lots of digital software that's free so if people's concern is timing there are a lot of avenues we can take to change that and make it potentially faster than the process we have right now.
- Ryan: I don't want to put any pressure that we have to vote on this today. This change came from a short meeting that AnLian and I had. If we don't end up doing anything with this today, I'd love to get something that would be more representative of everybody in LB.
- Cem: Instead of having a bunch of separate forms, can't we just have one form of favor, against, or abstain and then just check and put them under different titles? When we're publishing them on so and so issue regarding "x", this is what the LB said and then put the in favor, against, and abstain. It's not like there are different categories you can vote whether you're tabling them, accepting them, or rejecting them it's still always in favor, against, or abstaining. So, I was just wondering if that is the case?

- Chloe: There are a lot of things we don't know about this and it's a rough sketch but what I'm hearing is that people genuinely are open to this sort of change. Could I motion to table this proposed voting amendment until another time when we have more details? I'd like to make a motion to table the proposed amendment.
 - FOR: 24
 - AGAINST: 0
 - Abstain: 0
- Liv: The motion is approved to table the proposed amendment.
- AnLian: I think the discussion around the logistics of it should be open to discussion because I've just created a rough sketch but the details can be changed in the future.

Cabinet Updates ~ *Cabinet Liaisons* ~ 5 minutes

- **Belonging & Accessibility**
 - Kurian: I got no updates so far other than I'm working on making the google form for submitting whether the accessibility buttons on campus are working. The one in front of the LC wasn't working and we got that fixed up but as winter is approaching a lot of them will be currently broken.
- **Dining & Residential Life**
 - Galjer: Hi everyone, for dining concerns I've been meeting with Amy weekly and we've extended our meetings to be an hour long which has been really helpful. So far they've had another followup training for CafeMac workers in person that included a safety checklist walk through. Hopefully to address the concerns of having more training for CafeMac workers and an in person interactive training process. It also covered work policies and they implemented office hours for CafeMac workers to meet with their managers weekly from 4-5 and there's already been like 5 people who showed up. There's a training tracker that's now set in place to measure who's had training, who hasn't had training. Also, looking into having a scheduling app to make this process much smoother for CafeMac workers. There's temporary fans where the grill is but hopefully looking into getting more permanent infrastructure. There's also a CafeMac survey that Ryan has been working on that will go around. Also, to Residential Life, I've met with Kyle and I've also been to the occupancy meetings and we discussed a lot about RA compensation. If you have any

questions, please feel free to come up to me. We can totally talk about it more in detail. There's also now more sex safety supplies in dorms too. Thank you.

- Health & Wellness
 - Catherine: My update is that I'm meeting with the Director of Public Safety tomorrow. Public Safety is often the first responders on campus and are in charge of student EMTS. I have a lot of questions but if you guys have any please let me know.
- International Student Liaison
 - Laurice: We had a concern from Lina and a freshman and it came out that we actually have that document, it's just not shared well. Next week I have a meeting with the dean of international students around entrepreneurship. But it was a slow week for me.
- Athletics & Recreation
 - Jordan: We just started green athletics which is cool. This weekend is a big weekend for sports. There are 3 playoff games that are here, women's soccer, volleyball, and football. So go see some Scots this weekend!

Committee Updates ~ *Committee Chairs* ~ 3 minutes

- AAC
 - Elizabeth: Quick summary: we met on Monday for the first time in 2 weeks. We discussed how Pied by Professors went, we also discussed the LB meeting last week, and the upcoming divestment resolution. We talked about visitors day, and the next steps for the EMT program. Lastly, we've only received 3 applications for student reps to the CIC, it's been meeting on Wednesdays from 2:50-3:50. Please encourage folks to apply for that. Sam is going to be sitting on AAC as a rep for the rest of the semester.
- CEC
 - Marina: Last week was a crazy busy week for CEC because we had Legislation Week. I think we can all agree it was a good success, things to keep in mind for next year we'd like to have all members of LB showing up. This is a mandatory event so even if it's not your committee day you should still show up. We're trying to be more open about that. We'd love to hear your guys' feedback about how your guys things went, what worked, what didn't work. We're looking forward to the rest of the semester in terms of other things to do to boost engagement with the student body so if you have any ideas

about that please feel free to let us know. We're also thinking about how to support class leads and we're starting to think about a prep sheet on how to facilitate those events that can be used in the future as well.

- FAC
 - Luke: We approved 5 requests, tabled one more. We also had a discussion about potentially transitioning to a semesterly budgeting model going forward. I had that conversation with myself, Laurie, Sean, Emi, and Garrett. We'll keep you informed if anything changes.
- SOC
 - Sean: Besides getting those two prospective orgs in front of you today, we've been talking about rechartering the Entrepreneurship Club which was a club in the past but the leaders lost interest in the transition last year. We've been talking to a prospective film club as well as a couple clubs that we're pushing to next semester. We're rewriting our founding doc, the SOC code. If you have questions about that, please reach out.

Announcements ~ 2 minutes

- Election Day ~ *Sam Schafer*
 - Sam: Nov 5 is coming up. If you're eligible to vote, please vote. You can sign up the same day with your Mac ID. If you live on campus you're going to be voting at the United Plymouth Church. All feelings about this election are valid and we want to be an open space for this. If you're interested in volunteering on November 5th, you can contact me and I can set you up with some opportunities on campus.
- NameCoach ~ *Else*
 - Else: If you didn't notice, there are guidebooks on every table. Those are for you to have to reference too.
 - [Instructions](#)
 - [Record name here](#)
 - Else: This is to write your names so we can get your pronunciation right. If you guys could do this before LB next week that would be really helpful.
 - Paste link into [this spreadsheet](#)