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Phase I: Survey

Aug. 2009 – July 2010, preparation of instruments, recruitment of institutions

1. Institutional questionnaire, chair
2. Calculus coordinator questionnaire
3. Instructor pre-term survey
4. Student 2\textsuperscript{nd} to 3\textsuperscript{rd} week survey
5. Student 2\textsuperscript{nd} to 3\textsuperscript{rd} last week survey
6. Instructor post-term survey
7. Collection of final exams and grades

Feb. 2011 – forever, analysis of data
Phase II: Case Studies

Feb. 2011 – Aug. 2012, recruitment of teams, preparation of protocols, recruitment of institutions

Sept. – Nov. 2012, case study visits
   Each of the four teams will make a 2–3 day visit to at least two and not more than four institutions

Dec. 2012 – forever, analysis of data, publication of results

July 2014, end of grant
Agenda:
9:00–9:30 Overview of process to date
9:30–10:30 Sadler and Sonnert on statistical model
10:45–11:15 Carlson and Tallman on exam analysis
11:15–11:45 Rasmussen and Ellis on “switchers”
11:45–12:30 discussion of next steps in analysis of survey data

Lunch and afternoon (until 4:00) on preparation for case studies, led by Chris Rasmussen
Sampling:

207 two-year colleges, 40 (19%) participated
134 undergraduate colleges, 41 (31%) participated
60 master’s universities, 21 (35%) participated
120 doctoral universities, 66 (55%) participated

521 institutions were asked to participate
211 (40%) agreed to do so
187 (36%) had an instructor who answered at least one survey
168 (32%) had students who answered at least one survey
The 211 institutions had 1170 instructors teaching mainstream Calculus I in Fall 2010.

660 instructors (56%) answered the first survey

628 instructors (54%) had at least some students answer the first student survey

500 instructors (43%) answered both surveys
The 500 instructors who answered both surveys represented 26,257 students in 659 classes, an average of just under 40 students per class.

We have the final grades for all of these students as reported by instructors:

- A: 22%
- B: 28%
- C: 23%
- D, F, or W: 27%
11,528 students started first student survey
 10,421 completed it

6,182 students started second student survey
 5,347 completed it

3,463 students had 1st and 2nd surveys that we were able to pair
10,831 students reported their high school courses
6,587 of them (61%) took a calculus class in high school

4,532 (69% of those who took calculus in high school, 42% of all students) took an AP Calculus course

3,677 (81% of those who took an AP Calculus course, 34% of all students) took an AP Calculus exam

2,211 (60% of those who took the exam, 20% of all students) earned a 3 or higher on at least one AP Calculus exam
979 students (9.0%) earned a 4 or higher on the AB Calculus exam.

389 students (3.6%) earned a 3 or higher on the BC Calculus exam.

1239 (11.4%) did at least one of these

94 students (0.9%) earned a 5 on the BC Calculus exam.

183 students (1.7%) took AB Calculus one year, BC Calculus the following year, and then took Calculus I when they got to college. Extrapolates to over 5,000 such students in Fall Calculus I each year.