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**Signal Processing on Graphs**

**Wavelets on Graphs**

- **Diffusion wavelets** (Coifman and Maggioni, 2006)
- **Spectral graph wavelets** (Hammond et al., 2011)
- **Wavelet filter banks** (Narang and Ortega, 2012)

**Our approach here:** extend some classical time-frequency techniques to the graph setting
Classical Time-Frequency Analysis

- Localized Fourier analysis – joint descriptions of signals’ temporal and spectral behavior
- Time-frequency transforms reveal underlying structure in signal, enabling efficient information extraction, regularization in ill-posed inverse problems, etc.

Localized oscillations appear frequently in audio processing, vibration analysis, radar detection, etc.

- Windowed Fourier transform of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$Sf(u, \xi) := \langle f, g_{u, \xi} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) g(t - u) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} \, dt$$

- The atoms $g_{u, \xi}$ are localized in time and frequency:

Source: Gröchenig, 2001

Translation $T_u$  Modulation $M_\xi$
The Essence of the Problem

Question: Why can’t we just apply classical time-frequency and time-scale techniques to signals on graphs?

- Weighted graphs are irregular structures that lack a shift-invariant notion of translation:

Our objectives:

- Develop generalized notions of convolution, translation, and modulation in the graph setting
- Leverage these to define vertex-frequency transforms that enable us to efficiently extract information from high-dimensional data on graphs
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Spectral Graph Theory Notation

- Connected, undirected, weighted graph \( G = \{V, E, W\} \)

- Degree matrix \( D \): zeros except diagonals, which are sums of weights of edges incident to corresponding node

- Non-normalized Laplacian: \( \mathcal{L} := D - W \)

- Complete set of orthonormal eigenvectors and associated real, non-negative eigenvalues:
  \[ \mathcal{L} \chi_\ell = \lambda_\ell \chi_\ell, \]
  ordered w.l.o.g. s.t.
  \[ 0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \ldots \leq \lambda_{N-1} := \lambda_{\max} \]

\[
D = \begin{bmatrix}
.4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
W = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & .3 & .1 & 0 \\
.3 & 0 & .2 & .5 \\
.1 & .2 & 0 & .7 \\
0 & .5 & .7 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Values of eigenvectors associated with lower frequencies (low $\lambda_\ell$) change less rapidly across connected vertices.
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Graph Laplacian Eigenvalues

**Special Case – Path Graph**

\[
\lambda_\ell = 2 - 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi \ell}{N} \right), \quad \chi_0(i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}, \quad \chi_\ell(i) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \cos \left( \frac{\pi (\ell - 0.5)}{N} \right), \quad \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, N - 1
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\chi_0 & \cdots & \chi_{N-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

is the Discrete Cosine Transform matrix (DCT-II, Strang, 1999), which is used in JPEG image compression.
Special Case – Ring Graph

- (Unordered) Laplacian eigenvalues: \( \lambda_\ell = 2 - 2 \cos \left( \frac{2\ell \pi}{N} \right) \)

- One possible choice of orthogonal Laplacian eigenvectors:
  \[
  \chi_\ell = \left[ 1, \omega^\ell, \omega^{2\ell}, \ldots, \omega^{(N-1)\ell} \right], \text{ where } \omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}
  \]

- \[
  \begin{bmatrix}
  \chi_0 & \cdots & \chi_{N-1}
  \end{bmatrix}
  \]
  is the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) matrix
Fourier transform: expansion of $f$ in terms of the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian / graph Laplacian

**Functions on the Real Line**

**FOURIER TRANSFORM**

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \langle f, e^{2\pi i \xi t} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{-2\pi i \xi t} \, dt$$

**INVERSE FOURIER TRANSFORM**

$$f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi t} \, d\xi$$

**Functions on the Vertices of a Graph**

**GRAPH FOURIER TRANSFORM**

$$\hat{f}(\ell) = \langle f, \chi_\ell \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(n) \chi_\ell^*(n)$$

**INVERSE GRAPH FOURIER TRANSFORM**

$$f(n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(\ell) \chi_\ell(n)$$
Signals on Graphs in Two Domains

\[ \hat{f}(\lambda) = Ce^{-5\lambda}\hat{f}(\lambda) \]
A Generalized Convolution Product for Signals on Graphs

- Convolution in the time (vertex) domain is multiplication in the Fourier (graph spectral) domain

Functions on the Real Line

For \( f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
(f \ast g)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\tau)g(t - \tau)d\tau,
\]
which implies

\[
(f \ast g)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)e^{2\pi i \xi t} d\xi
\]

Functions on the Vertices of a Graph

For \( f, g \in \mathbb{R}^N \), we define

\[
(f \ast g)(n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(\ell)\hat{g}(\ell) \chi_\ell(n)
\]

- This generalized convolution product inherits properties such as commutativity, distributivity, and associativity
Generalized Translation on Graphs

- Define generalized translation via generalized convolution with a delta

**Functions on the Real Line**
For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, in the weak sense

$$(T_u f)(t) := f(t - u)$$

$$= (f * \delta_u)(t)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi u} e^{2\pi i \xi t} d\xi$$

**Functions on the Vertices of a Graph**
For $f \in \mathbb{R}^N$, we define

$$(T_i f)(n) := \sqrt{N} (f * \delta_i)(n)$$

$$= \sqrt{N} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \hat{f}(\ell) \chi^*(i) \chi_{\ell}(n)$$

$T_{200} f$
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Properties of Generalized Translation Operators on Graphs

- Some nice properties inherited from the generalized convolution:
  - \( T_i T_j = T_j T_i \)
  - \( T_i(f * g) = (T_i f) * g = f * (T_i g) \)
  - \( \sum_n (T_i f)(n) = \sum_n f(n) \)

- **Warning 1:** Do not have the group structure of classical translation:
  \[ T_i T_j \neq T_{i+j} \]

- **Warning 2:** Unlike the classical case, generalized translation operators are not unitary:
  \[ \| T_i \|_2 = \max_{\ell} |\chi_\ell(i)|, \]
  so for any \( i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\} \),
  \[ 1 \leq \| T_i \|_2 \leq \sqrt{N}\mu, \]
  where the coherence \( \mu := \max_{\ell,i} |\chi_\ell(i)| \)
Generalized Modulation on Graphs

- Define generalized modulation via multiplication by a Laplacian eigenfunction / graph Laplacian eigenvector

Functions on the Real Line

For \( f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
(M_\xi f)(t) := e^{2\pi i \xi t} f(t)
\]

Functions on the Vertices of a Graph

For \( f \in \mathbb{R}^N \), we define

\[
(M_k f)(n) := \sqrt{N} \chi_k(n) f(n)
\]

- In the classical case, the modulation operator represents a translation in the Fourier domain:

\[
\hat{M_\xi f}(\omega) = \hat{f}(\omega - \xi), \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{R}
\]
Generalized Modulation as a Graph Spectral Shift?

- \( \hat{M}_k \chi_0(\lambda_\ell) = \delta_0(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_k) \), so the DC component of any signal \( f \in \mathbb{R}^N \) is mapped to \( \hat{f}(0) \chi_k \)

- Moreover, if \( \hat{f} \) is sufficiently localized around 0, then \( \hat{M}_k f \) will be localized around \( \lambda_k \)

\[ \lambda_{2000} = 4.03 \]

**Theorem**

*If for some \( \kappa > 0 \), \( f \) satisfies \( \frac{1}{|\hat{f}(0)|} \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} |\hat{f}(\ell)| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\mu + \kappa \mu^3 N} \right) \), then* \( |\hat{M}_k f(k)| \geq \kappa |\hat{M}_k f(\ell)| \) *for all \( \ell \neq k \).*
A Windowed Graph Fourier Transform

- Windowed graph Fourier atoms:
  \[ g_{i,k} := M_k T_i g \]

- Windowed graph Fourier transform:
  \[ Sf(i, k) := \langle f, g_{i,k} \rangle \]

**Theorem (Windowed Graph Fourier Frames)**

If \( \hat{g}(0) \neq 0 \), then \( \{g_{i,k}\}_{i=1,2,...,N; k=0,1,...,N-1} \) is a frame:

\[
A \|f\|_2^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |\langle f, g_{i,k} \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|_2^2,
\]

where

\[
A := \min_{i \in \{1,2,...,N\}} \left\{ N \|T_i g\|_2^2 \right\} \geq N |\hat{g}(0)|^2 > 0, \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
B := \max_{i \in \{1,2,...,N\}} \left\{ N \|T_i g\|_2^2 \right\} \leq N^2 \mu^2 \|g\|_2^2.
\]
Example 1: The Path Graph

- Signal $f$ on the path graph comprised of three different graph Laplacian eigenvectors restricted to three different segments of the graph:

- “Spectrogram” of $f$ showing $|Sf(i, k)|^2$, using a normalized heat kernel window with $\tau = 300$: 
Example 2: A Random Sensor Network

- Partition a random sensor network into 3 clusters via spectral clustering
- Signal $f$ comprised of three different graph Laplacian eigenvectors $(\chi_{10}, \chi_{27}, \chi_5)$ restricted to the three different clusters of vertices
Tiling Comparison with Spectral Graph Wavelets

Source: Vetterli and Kovačević, 1995
Example 3: Swiss Roll

*Three different windowed graph Fourier atoms, shown in both domains:*

![Swiss Roll Examples](image)
Summary:

- Generalized translation and modulation via Laplacian eigenfunctions
- Leveraged these operators to design windowed graph Fourier frames
- For the path graph or highly-structured signals, the generalized “spectrogram” matches our classical time-frequency intuition
- Just scratching the surface

Ongoing work:

- Mathematical theory linking 1) structural properties of graph signals and their underlying graphs to 2) properties of the generalized operators and transform coefficients (sparsity, localization, uncertainty principles)
  - Important for optimal window design, efficient information extraction, and choosing appropriate regularization techniques for ill-posed inverse problems
- Computationally efficient implementations